DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
OFFICE OF FREEDOM OF INFORMATION
1155 DEFENSE PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1155

30 JAN 2008

Ref: 08-F-0118

Matt Schroeder
Federation of American Scientists
1717 K Street NW #209
Washington, DC 20036

Dear Mr. Schroeder:

This is the final response to your Freedom of Information Act request dated October 19, 2007, for a copy of the Defense Department's portion of the FY2006 "655 Report" to Congress on military exports. The Defense Security Cooperation Agency (DSCA) provided 226 pages of material responsive to your request. Tab D – Classified addendum to the listing of Defense Articles (Including Excess) and Services (Including Training) Furnished to Foreign Countries and International Organizations under Foreign Military Sales, Chapter 2, Arms Export Control Act is denied in its entirety.

Edward Ross, an Initial Denial Authority for the DSCA, determined that Tab D, totaling 24 pages, is exempt from release in its entirety because it contains information which is currently and properly classified in accordance with Executive Order 12958, as amended, Section 1.4(b) which pertains to foreign government information and (d), which pertains to foreign relations or foreign activities of the United States, including confidential sources. Accordingly, this information is being withheld pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(1). The remaining redacted information in the enclosed material is exempt from release pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(3) which pertains to information exempt from release by statute, in this instance, 10 U.S.C. § 130(c), which pertains to the nondisclosure of certain sensitive information of foreign governments and international organizations.

If you are not satisfied with this action, you may submit an administrative appeal to James Hogan, Chief, Policy, Appeals and Litigation Branch, Office of Freedom of Information, 1155 Defense Pentagon, Washington D.C. 20301-1155. Your appeal should be postmarked within 60 calendar days of the date of this response, should cite to case number 08-F-0118, and should be clearly marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal." There are no assessable fees in this instance as duplication costs were minimal.

Sincerely,

for Will Kammer
Chief

Enclosures:
As stated