PURPOSE

To discuss with the Red Planning Board USSTRATCOM/PR12's thoughts on the future of the Red Integrated Strategic Offensive Plan (RISOP) program
BACKGROUND OF THE RED PLANNING BOARD

The continued existence of the Red Planning Board (RPB), with JS oversight, was deemed necessary when the JS transferred RISOP production responsibility to STRATCOM for two reasons (late 1996):

- Ensure continued intelligence support from DIA and DISA
  - CJCSI 3110.04B continues to direct this support
- Ensure neutral evaluation of RISOP to prevent perception of STRATCOM "cooking the books."
  - RPB does not reduce criticism of the unreasonableness of scenarios
- PR123 usually meets with the RPB 2-3 times a year
  - Did not meet this year due to time constraints and scheduling conflicts
- The RPB has not made any changes to guidance since 1998
CJCSI 3232.01B provides guidance for development, approval, and release of the Red Integrated Strategic Offensive Plan (RISOP)

The requirement for the development of the RISOP has been removed from CJCSI 3110.04B, the Nuclear Supplement to Joint Strategic Capabilities Plan

CJCSI 3110.04B has been through Final Coordination and is being routed for DJS signature

Without the requirement for the RISOP, there is no requirement for CJCSI 3232.01B to be maintained

Without CJCSI 3232.01B, there is no requirement for the Red Planning Board to continue to exist

UNCLASSIFIED
DIA, DISA, and DTRA continue to support USSTRATCOM's planning and analysis.

STRATCOM performs three phases of analysis:

- Phase I: Consequence of Execution Analysis
- Phase II: Campaign Level Analysis
- Phase III: Intelligence Assessment Analysis

Bottomline: USSTRATCOM must continue to perform threat analysis in order to evaluate OPLAN 8044.
b. (U) **Phase II: Campaign Level Analysis.** USSTRATCOM will conduct a campaign level analysis to provide a stochastic check of the deterministic models used for the revision report consequences of execution analysis and to assess OPLAN 8044, REVISION XX’s capability to comply with approved guidance. Such analysis will encompass various scenarios and may include the potential contribution of SACEUR’s MCOs as required.

- DIA will:
  
  n. (U) Support USSTRATCOM by providing weapon characteristics and targeting strategies as required for analysis.

- DISA will:
  
  c. (U) In conjunction with DIA, provide counter C3 warhead and HAB allocation and electronic warfare threat predictions to USSTRATCOM for their analysis.
USSTRATCOM will continue to produce RISOP-like analysis.

Additional analyses will be performed as necessary.

Customers will continue to receive the data they need to perform/support their studies.

Procedure for requesting data and providing products will remain the same.

- A request letter stating the type of information requested, the purpose for which it will be used and what type of product will result.

- A release letter will accompany the requested data stating the classification and other important information regarding the release of the data.
CURRENT USERS

- STRATCOM/CL132--COMM Analysis
- STRATCOM METOC/RECA--(ABNCP/MCCC)
- Pre-Launch Survivability Studies
  - HQ Air Force Space (HQ AFSPC/XO)--ICBM PLS
  - HQ Air Combat Command (HQ ACC/DO)--Bomber and Recce PLS
  - HQ Air Mobility Command (HQ AMC/DO)--Tanker PLS
  - Commander Submarine Forces Atlantic (COMSUBLANT)--SSBN Base Survivability
- Defense Logistics Agency (DLA)
- National Communications System -- Network Vulnerability Analyses
SUMMARY

USSTRATCOM is prepared to accept full responsibility for RISOP